DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (DEV. CONTROL & PLG. WING)

Draft minutes of Technical Committee meeting held on 31.7.92 at 4.00 P.M. in the Conference Hall of Vikas Sadan, B-Block, 1st floor, Delhi Development Authority, New Delhi.

The following were present:

- Mr. S.P. Jakhanwal, Vice-Chairman (In chair) 1.
- Mr. H.D. Sharma, E.M. 2.
- Mr. J.C. Gambhir, Commr. (Plg.) 3.
- Mr. Santosh Auluck, Chief Architect. 4.
- Mr. S.C.Gupta, Dir. (DC&P)
- Mr. R.G. Gupta, Dir(TYA) 6.
- Mr. P.C. Jain, Dir. (AP&B) 7.

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING OFFICE.

Mr. S. Maurya, T.P. 8.

M.C.D.

- Mr. V.K. Bugga, Addl. T.P. 9.
- Mr. J.K. Mamta, EE(Br.IV) 10.
- Mr. D.D. Nayyar, SE XIII 11.
- Mr. P.K.KHanna, S.E.(X) 12.

POLICE DEPARTMENT (TRAFFIC)

- Mr. Ram Narain Kadian, A.C.P. 13.
- 14. Mr. Rupehand Sharma, A.C.P.

D.E.S.U.

- Mr. B.R. Sawhney, Addl. C.E. 15.
- Mr. D.K. Suri, S.E. (Plg.) 16.
- 17. Mr. B. Lal, A.G.R.O.

L & D O

18. Mr. I.D. Gupta, Bldg. Officer,

Special Invitee

NORTHERN RAILWAY

- Mr. B.K. Makhija, Dy.C.E. (Constn.) for item no.82/92 19. C.C.I.
- Mr. A.K. Sinha, G.M. 20.

-do--do-

21. Mr. N.C. Jain, Ex.Director

22. Mr. R.B.Singh -do-

Sub: Route alignment for 66 KV Tower Line to connect 220 KV existing sub-station at Okhla upto the proposed 66 KV sub-station at Sangam Vihar (South Delhi).

F.6(9)90-MP

After detailed discussion the following points were emerged:

- i) There is no comprehensive plan prepared for regularisation of Sangam Vihar unauthorised colony. The representative of MCD informed that such a plan cannot be prepared till the Govt. of India takes a policy decision for regularisation of unauthorised colonies. Plauson for regularisation of unauthorised colonies. Plauson that are incorporated plan incorporating various infrashudayal requirements.
- ii) The proposed 66 KV tower line passes through 7 to 10 metres wide roads and was found technically feasible. Similarly, the approach to the proposed 66 KV sub-station is not there through a proper approach road of 30 metre wide for transportation of equipment and erection of towers.
- iii) In the meeting taken by UDM, Ministry of Urban Development in Feb.'92, it was decided that no electrical/water connection to any unauthorised colony be provided and no building plan be cleared by Local Body. Sangam Vihar is an unauthorised colony.

It was, therefore, decided that a reference be made to the Ministry of Urban Development indicating the above points for a policy decision whether in such cases the permission for electrification be considered.

contd..

2, Item No.81/92

Sub: Change of land use of an area, measuring 28.75 hs.

(71000) from 'Public and semi-public facilities' to 'Commercial', Residential and 'Recreational' use in Pinjrapole area, New Delhi.

F.3(33)89-MP

Technical Committee recommended to the Authority for approving the change of land use and to refer to the Ministry of Urban Development to issue the notification under 11 of Delhi Development Act 1957 for change of land use of an area measuring 27 Ha. from 'Public and Semi-Public Facilities' (Convention Centre) to community centre (Commercial use) Residential use 14.17 Ha. and recreational use 7.70 Ha.

Item No.82/92

Railway siding for the Unit in Tughlakabad Area. siding for the Cement Grinding F.3(41)89-MP

The proposal for railway siding for Cement Grinding Unit was explained by Commr. (Plg) The representatives of the Cement Grinding Unit were also present. After detailed discussion, it was decided that V.C./DDA will inspect the site alongwith other officers before deciding this case.

Item No.19/92

Development control norms in MPD-2001 in respect of Industrial Instt./Commercial various presentations in this regard. F15(1)91-MP

The development control use listed in the agenda were discussed and the following decision was taken:-

area with commercial on coverage, FAR achieved NDWC/F&DO . with regard to Market built by L&DO and Tue the stage of Representative Lane Andres he Decision

in MPD-2001. -gns/101d

benefit of MPD-2001 for resi- to the provision given on above floor extending the division in comparison. ground floor and residential on individual

dential portion..

other bodies in New Delhi

Mezzaine floor & height

Industrial Plots: Basement,

be achieved. stipulated height may the party, FAR within ton si constructed by Basement: Where basement

height. within the stipulated could be achaieved of MPD-2001 additional approved prior to enforcement For building plan

be counted into permissible Mezzanine floor

Institutional Plots: Basement, Basement: Wherever the provision

Height & Parking.

School bldgs. the hei Height: In case of Nurs reduired. feasibility of service fire safety and technica subject to clearance o' the permissible activities FAR and can be used for be counted in the permissible cted and the same is to so desired, could be construplot, the basement, if mentioned in individual silent and not specifically of basement in MPD-2001 is

basement after counti (2 storey). However, be restricted to 8 met of the building cow

into FAR may be allowed.

Parking: Norms of parking
in educational bldgs.
(school), Technical Committee
desired that a group may
be formed by Commr.(Plg.)
to specify norms after
studying the various public
and Govt. schools.

Development control norms for school plots as stipulated in the allotment letter based on MPD-2001 provisions be followed for school plots above 4 Ha. and upto 8 Ha.

 Central Market Lajpat Nagar.

Deferred.

5 Item No.83/92

Sub: Alignment plan of proposed 45 mtr. r/w road over palam drain connecting Dwarka project with the Pankha Road.

Deferred.

Item No.70/92

Sub: Introduction of cluster court housing concept in Master Plan for Delhi Perspective-2001.

Deferred.

Item No.79/92

Sub: Consideration of permission of cinema owners for allwing cinema bldg. papertly for commercial offices/shops in Union Territory of Delhi.

Deferred.

Item No. 61/92

Sub: Allotment of additional land to Sri Ahobila Lakshmi Nriosimha Sabha forf religious purposes.

Deferred.

1. Item No.84/92

Sub: Request for permission to use plot no.48 Hanuman Road for commercial use.

Deferred.

LAID ON TABLE

O Item No.85/92

Sub: Allotment of 220-KV sub-station site for Walled city area.

ii) Route approval for 220-KV Tower Line from Wazirabad road to proposed sub-station site.

File No.F.6(7)89-MP File No.F.6(1)85-MP

Delomed.

i) The proposed 220-KV sub-station site located in the vicinity of ISBT fly over shown on the plan (Laid on table) was approved.

ii) The proposed route alingment of 220KV Tower Line shown on the plan (Laid on table) from Wazirabad to the proposed sub-station site was approved.

sepole)

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (MASTER PLAN SECTION)

Agenda for the Meeting of Technical Committee to be held on 31.7.92 at 4.00 P.M. in the Conference Hall of Vikes Sadan, B_Block Ist floor, Delhi Development Authority, INA New Delhi.

THE NEW DET	ni.
S.No. 1t	em No. Subject Page No.
1. 80/92	Route aligment for 66 KV Tower line 1-2 to connect 220 KV existing sub-station at Okhla upto the proposed 66 KV substation at Sangam Vihar(South Delhi)
	F. 6(9)90-MP/Pt.
2. 81/92	Change of land use of an area measuring (71 ha) from 'public and semi public facilities' to 'commercial', residential' and 'recreational' use in Pinjrapole Area, New Delhi. F. 3(33)89_MP
3. 82/92	Railway siding for the Cement Grinding Unit in Tuchlakabad Area. F. 3(41)89_MP 4_5
4. 19/9 2	Development control norms in MPD_2001 in respect 3 industrial instt./Commercial various presentations in this regards. F. 15(1)91_MP
5. 83/92	road over palam drain connecting Dwarka 7-8 project with the Pankha Road.
E ALCOHOL STATE	F. 10(42) DD_Plg. II/9 2/DWK.
6. 70/9 2	Introduction of cluster court housing 9-11 concept in Master Plan for Delhi perspective-2001. PA/DD(ZP)92/DDA/310.
7. 79/92	Consideration of permission of cinema owners for allowing cinema bldg. partly for 12-1: commercial offices/shops in Union Territory of Delhi. F. 11(1)91_MP.
8. 61/92	Allotment of additional land to Sri Ahobila Lakshmi Nrisimha Sabha for religious purposes FS. 6(7) 79/OSB/Instt.
9. 84/92	Request for permission to use plot No. 48 Hanuman Road for commercial use. 15-16 F. 16(5)92-MP.
10. 85/92	· Allo Turnet of 220. KN Sny . sty. site forwall dilig -
	Area.
	ii). Route approval for 220 ker Tower line
	from Wazirabad Road to proposed Sub/sin.
	site. F6(7) 89-11P
	F6(1) 185-11P.

Sub: Route alignment for 66 KV Towerline to connect 220 KV existing sub-station at Okhla upto the proposed 66 KV substation at Sangam Vihar (South Delhi). Based on the PUC from Executive Engr. (Planning-IV), DESU deted 13.11.90, the subject has been discussed in the Technical Committee meeting held on 4.3.91. decision of the Technical Committee as follows: "The scheme was not justified as the Govt. of India had not taken any decision to regularise Sangam-Vihar colony or any other fresh unauthorised colonies of the similar vantage for that matter. The proposal was therefore, not taken up for consideration. The matter was again brought up before the Technical committee in its meeting held on 2.2.92, in which "the item was deferred and DESU was to submit a copy of notification vide which colony, under reference had been irregularised. " Now again a reference has been received from the Chief Secy. , Delhi dated 24.3.92 alongwith copy of G.M. DESU letter. The colony are as below: Additional Town Planner, MCD has varified that area i) of 274 acre out of a total area of about 300 acre of Sangam Vihar complex is eligible for electrification within the policy of Delhi odmn. ii)

DESU has worked out the load requirement and it is insisted that a 66KV sub-station is required within Sangam Vihar Complex. The land for the same has been agreed to be provided by the residents Welfare Association.

iii) DDF. is required to provide the proposed 66KV tower line to connect 220 KV existing sub-station at okhla upto the proposed 66 KV sub-station at Sangam Vihar.

Sangam Vihar complex will cater to the requireiv) ment of over 1 lakh people and it may be diff-icult for DESU to restrain representation of the residents in case positive action is not taken for actual electrification of the complex.

OBSERVATIONS:

1) As requested earlier in the meetings of the Technical Committee still not informations/ intimation from(a) Town Planner, MCD about the status of colony in general and extent/boundary of the area stated in the letter of G.M. DESU considered eligible for electrification, received. - 2-

Urban Improvement deptt. of Delhi Admn. about the policy in respect of electrification of the unauthorised colonies and their extension in rural/urban villages.

- ii) The plan submitted by DESU as discussed in the Technical committee does not indicate the existing/ proposed tower line in the erea and the existing physical features. The entire corridor for the proposed tower line together with proposed substation site is to be identified on a physical survey map as highlighted in the Technical Committee meeting on 4.3.91.
- Regarding the corridor for the towner line it is indicated in the PUC received from Executive Engr. DESU dated 13.11.90 that "The approach road shown for iii) sub-station is hardly 7 to 10 mtr. wide, which is not suitable for the transportation of the heavy equipment to the sub-station. Also the corridor for the 66^{KV} tower line is to be provided along the road. This corridor should not be less than 15 mtr. It is, therefore, necessary that minimum width of the approach road should be about 30 mtr. to enable transportation of equipment and correction of the tower line. "In fact, as in case of other unauthorised regularised colonies at the time of regularisation, a regularisation plan is prepared with provision for (a) road widening (b) identification for pockets for infrastructure facilities and (c) other improvements asper the guidelines prescribed by the Govt. Since the colonies has not been regularised and falls in the area which is not a development area of DDA. it is beyond the purview of Lin to attend to the request of DESU
- The reference is also invited to the meeting taken by UDM in Feb. 92 where it was decided that iv) bo electricity connection or water connection to any unauthorised colonies by provided and no building plans be cleared by the local body." SangamVikarinstill unautionised Colony.
 While examining the route alignment as pointed out earlier in the meetings of Technical Committee; v) (a) the line passes through regional park/ridge. s per MPD - 2001 ridge is to be protected and proposedto be aforestated with indigenous species. (b) the line also touches the Tughlakabad monumental area. As in other case, the line in this erea has to be taken underground.
- There is already proposal under consideration of the DDA/Govt. of India, for taking up development of vi) urban extension areas in the area adjoining the existing urban limits in phases.
- The case is placed before the Technical Committee for its consideration the proposals with above observations.

. . .

Change of land use of an area measuring (71 ha).

from 'Public and semi-public facilities to

commercial residential and recreational use
in Pinjrapole Area, New Delhi. F. 3(33)/89-MP.

.

The Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of
Urban Development vide order No.14.2.2.2.13/20/20-30.4 dated 16.3.92
(appendix. I.....) has conveyed the approval of the
Central Govt. under Section 11-2 of Delhi Development Act. 1957
to issue a public notice for inviting objection/ suggestion
from the public by exercising the powers conferred on the
Central Govt. under section 41 of the Delhi Development
Act. 1957 and accordingly directed DDA to restore the
land use of the proposed area as existed in 1962.

- 2. Accordingly, a public notice was issued on 23.5.92 for inviting public objections/suggestions. In response to that public notice, only one suggestion has been received. This is from the Joint Director(ZP), DDA. He has made the suggestions as under:
- i. The R/W of Marshal Joship Broz Tito Marg, is 60 m. (200 ft.).
- ii. There is no such land use as Zonal green in MPD_2001.
 (Appendix. II---)

Observations:

The R/W is 60 mtrs. in MPD_2001 and be mentioned the same.

The land use be shown as 'recreational' instead of. Zonal green'.

3. The proposal is placed before the Technical Committee for its consideration.

Government of India Ministry of Urban Development (Delhi Division).

NO: K_ 200 13/27/9 1_DUIB

New Delhi dated 16.3.92

ORDER

In the Delhi Mester Plan, 1962, in Prinjrepole area (Andrews Genj), the land use of aplot of Nazul land measuring about 35 acres was earmarked for housing, 18 acres zonal green and 17 acres for Community Centre.

- 2. To meet the urgent need for accommodation for participants of Afro-Asian Games, the work of land utilisation as per 1962 Master Plan was partially entrusted by Government to CPWD and partially to HUDOO. CPWD were asked to construct Type-IV quarters on 10 acres of land and HUDOO were asked by the Government to develop and dispose of Community Centre on 17 acres of land and out of the aurplus proceeds, construct general pool quarters in 25 acres of land. The utilisation of this land is in conformity with the provisions of the Delhi Master Plan, 1962.
- 3. When, the lay-out plans for Community Centre and residential portion to be constructed by HUDCO were submitted to MCD, it was observed by the local body that in the revised Master Plan, the land use has been changed and is not in conformity with the land use as assigned to the area in Master Plan Delhi. 1962.
- 4. The entire issue has been examined and it is observed that when the Master Plan Delhi 2001 was notified by the Government on 1.8.1990, there has been a bonafide error in ommitting to specify the utilisation of the above area in respect of Pinjrapole, as per Delhi Master Plan, 1962, which resulted in inadvertent change of land use of the area in question.
- 5. It has, therefore, been decided in public interest to correct the bonafide mistake and restore the original land use as was assigned to the plot in Pinjrapole (Andrews Ganj) to that as mentioned in Delhi Master Plan, 1962, i.e 35 acres for housing, 19 acres zonal green and 17 acres for Community-Centre.

contdd. 2/-

- on the Central Government under Section 41 of the Delhi Development Act, 1957, Central Government hereby directs the DDA to restore the land use of this area as existed for this land in 'the Master Plan Delhi 1962 and process the change of land use by restoring to the following:
- i) pction u/s 11-A(2) for modification to the Master-Plan Delhi-2001, restoring with effect from 10.8.1990 the land use of the said area to that which existed in respect of this land in the Delhi Master Plan, 1962:
- Publish a Notice in such form and manner as prescribed by Delhi Development (Master Plan & Zonal Development Plan) Rules, 1959 inviting objections and suggestions from any person with respect to proposed modification; and
- iii) Follow such other procedure as is necessary to bring about the restoration of identified land use.

(R. K. Bhargava)
Secretary to the Govt. of India.

To

- mystemat

The Vice-Chairman, (Shri C.Noronha) Delhi Development Authority, Vikas Sadan, INA New Delhi- 110023... NO: F. 1(9)92-ZP

Dated: 9.6.92

From: Sh. A. K. Jain, Offtg. Director (DCP)

To

The Secretary, D.D.A. Vikas Sadan, 'B' Block, INA New Delhi.

Sub: Publication of public Notice.

Sir,

Please refer to Public Notice No. F.3(33)/89_M.P. dated 23.5.92. In this reference, the following suggestions may be considered:

- 1) The r/w of Marshal J.B tite Marg is mentioned as 45 mtrs(200 ft.) This needs to be reconciled as 45 mtrs. does not correspond to 200.
- ii) As per MPD_2001, there is no land use like zonal green. It is suggested that in all the public notices which become legal documents in place of terms like Zonal green only the MPD use zones may be adopted.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-(m. K. Jain) Offtg. Dir.(DCP)/JD; (2P). Thin No 82/92.
Sub:- Railway siding for the Cement Grinding Unit in Tughlakabad Area.

(File NO.F. 3(41)/89-MP)

BACKGROUND:

1. Delhi Development Authority in file No.F.20(5)/90/Instl. considered the allotment of about 11.12 acres of land in Tughlakabad area for the proposed CCI siding. When the case was referred in the Planning Wing of the DDA before the allotment of land, the Planning Wing proposed an integrated circulation plan for the area with Inland Container Depot (ICD). Meanwhile, the CCI have also deposited the cost of land to DDA.

Technical Committee in the series of the meetings finally approved the proposal for ICD Mughlakabad in 18.2.92 in conformity with the decisions taken in the meeting held in the Ministry of UD and the Cabinet Secretariat. Later to accommodate the CCI railway siding meetings and joint inspection was undertaken by Commissioner(plg.) with officers from MCD, CCI, CONCOR, RITES, and Railways etc. on 8.4.92 The issue was also reviewed in the meeting of Secy. (Co-ordination) on 18.3.92 and it was decided to demarcate the land for siding within 7 days.

PROPOSAL:

- 2. Based on meetings and the site inspection the proposal submitted to DDA for allotment of land for raidway siding did not find favour on account of the following:-
- (i) the land proposed for siding conflicts with the proposed right of Mehrauli Badarpur Road.
- (ii) A large number of trees were affected due to the proposed alignment of the siding.
- (iii) A minimum 30m.strip of land was asked with incidental space left between the existing propoerties and the proposed siding.

In view of the above, an alterative alignment for siding was finalised by DDA based on the site vist and a detailed physical Survey. The same was circulated to all the concerned agencies for examination on 21.4.92 and taking a final view in the Technical Committee.

ISSUES UNDER CONSIDERATION:

- 3. The proposed siding as proposed by DDA was discussed in a meeting taken by Commissioner(plg.) on 16.4.92 with representatives of Railways, CCI, MCD ets. Some of the issues raisedare as given below:-
- i) The proposed siding is principally agreeable to all the agencies i.e. MCD ,CCI, Railways etc. However, as it ise likely to affect the proposed extension of existing ballast siding of Railways (which was never a issue earliesr) certain reservations were expressed.

- (ii) The proposal provided just adequate land required for the siding, and the alignment has to be so provided as to affect minimum number of trees etc.
 - (iii) There is a green buffer possible between the proposed siding and the road. Also leaving sope for the approach to ICD to be property designed.
- 4. In view of above, the case is put up for considering the allotment of 2.2 har of land as per the plan laid on table for the CCI Railway siding to Railways.

Item No. 19/92

Sub:

- Development controls norms as provided in MPD-2001 in respect of Industrial /Insttl/commercial - various representations in this regard. F.15(1)91-MP.

The Master plan for Delhi-2001 came into force on 1.8.90. The development code as a part of the Master Plan provided comprehensive set of zoning regulations including use permissibility at two levels and the development control norms for selected use premises. After the enforcement of the plan, a number of representations from govt. prevate organisation/association and individuals have been received regarding certain clarification/interpretations modifications in respect of various provisions for different use premises.

The item was taken before the TC which desired that a smallgroup consisting of Dir.(B) DDA, SE(B) MCC CA, NDMC under the chairmanship of the Dir.(DC&P) DDA may discuss various issues and then bring the same before the TC. The development control norms for residential plots w.r.t. to zoning Regulations of MPD-2001 was discussion in TC meeting dated 3.3.92.

-03

a.

In the two meetings, held on 16.3.92 and 25.3.92 the issues relating to Industrial/
Insttl/commer ial plots were discussed in detail.
The following were the oncesus opinion/views of the group in respectof various issues as given in the annexure.

The case is placed before the Technical committee for its consideration.

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED ISSUES RAISED IN VARIOUS IN RESPONSE TO GAZLTTE NOT! FICATION MPD-2001.

> BYE LAWS-1983. PROVISION OF BUILDING

PROVISION OF MASTER PLAN DELHI VIELS OF THE SUB!

GROUP CONSTITUTED CONSENSUS OPENION

DATED 27.8.91. BY TECHNICAL COMMITTEE IS REQUIRED WHERE OUT IN MPD- 2001. IT WILL BE CARRIED CLARIFICATION AND IN CASE AMENDMENT INTERPRETATION

ANNEXUAE.

MPD-2001 for Residential Market Built by L&DO portion. extending the Benefit of Residential on above floor cial on Ground Floor and Delhi area with commerand other bodies in New

law shall be deemed under this code -clause as per MPD-2001. to have been approved erent sizes of plots in accordance with authority concerned or any other local by the Authority already approved The Layout plans each Market for perlimits of FAR for diffit with permissible each floor and compare missible coverage of ducted by NDMC for An exercise be cond-

of the Dev.

Covered under clause 3(4)

page 149 gazette of india. Standard plans 3(4) Development code It shall be ation on the proposals. to DDA for considerto be worked out by NDMC and be referred BASEMEN T. This study of

INDUSTRIAL PLOTS:

BASELENT & HEICHT

The issues raised were of building in extensive industry issues related to maximum height and mazzanine and there being regarding use of basement counted into FAR. The other

servic ing storage. Clause VII (a) Without counting into FAR to be used for permitted equivalent to of buildings and was tted for various types Basement was permi-

1. the &service and extensive industries. counted in the FAR. coverage and shall be service industry plot industrial buildings, שושוו באסטיים שד מון שוות allowed below (i) the Ground floor and to the maxiii) In building plans approved prior to enfor-cement of MPD-2001 addition could be made made as below: could be achieved. In case party is not constructing basement in stipulated height Prescribed heights in MPD-2001 in such cases in such case, FAR with having more than In case of existing

In case of both

THOUGHT WINDEXAM (11 extensive imhastry shall be 6 mts. plot maximum height be 9 smt. and in case of the maximum height shall IN Case of light &

approved prior to enforcement

of the existing buildings, achieved within the height stipulated norms can he additional FAR within

(i) In various types of buildings it was per-mitted equivalent to Ground floor coverage vices, utilities of the building and Without counting into FAR to be used for serparking etc.

Bye-law No. 14.12

page 36
(ii) Height restriction of 24.4 mt. (80ft) are not adequately pronecessary services are provided and 13.72 mts. where lifts and other (45 ft.) if such services 2001 is silent

Use premises on page 163 &164 Gazzette Basement.

vided.

nal uses. Page 99.

Clause VII institutio- of

India.

the basement of a bulding constructed on stilts with no Parking lock-up garrages shall be included in the Bye-law No 13:2&13.6 Page 31. external wall. space for FAR caclulations unless they are provided in calculation for floor se.mt. of the covered area. (iii) Parking. One can space per 92.93

ground floor and to the maximum services should not for parking and allowed and if used coverage shall be extent of ground be counted in FAR. Basement below

In some use pre-mises under this category the MPDon the issue of MPD-2001, Individual in required, same be defination of basement can construct a bakecan be used for the it into permissible ment aftercounting any amendment in the plot is allotted and if purpose for which the FAR, in such cases it basement in silent Institutional Bldg. Basement Wherever in the or not prescribed in building Bye-laws

done. Rarking: in case institutional garding ure. Bye-laws re-

building mede in the Lave to made suitable pro vision shall If necessary

HEIGHT: In case of Nursery school However basement if provided to be students and activity of kider Keeping in view the small age group counted in FAR. confine of two storey building garden. total height stipulated is 11 mts. in open space within the plot on 1.00 bus per 100 sq.mt. built-up ational purpose and parking plotto be used for educwhich construction in permitted. area is not specified, in such Therefore, N.S. should

than 4 hacts. schools more not provide for MPD-2001 does For such plots Development view the ectivity for which plot is allotted. in allowment letter. Keeping in control norms to be specified

and i/tp 8 hect.

Central Market Laipat-

Non-herarchy Commr. centres para (a) on page 161 Gazetted of India.

be permitted.

This will be studied by S.E., Building, MCD and then will be discussed.

U

.

3-

Subject: Flignment plan of proposed 45 mtr. r/w road over Palam Drain connecting Dwarka Project with the Pankha Road. F. 10(42) DD_Plg._II/92/DWK.

Ti CDene .

1. LOCATION :

The proposed road is a major road connecting Dwarka Project with the Pankha Road. The road is proposed over the existing Palam Drain as there are unauthorised/unauthorised regularised colonies on either side of the Drain.

2. STRUCTURE PLAN PROPOSALS:

The Structure Plan for Dwarka Project, approved by the DUAC, proposes 4 major inra-city connections of which the said proposal is one of the connections to be constructed over the Palam Drain. This connection links Dwarka Project with north and central part of the Delhi area.

3. BACKGROUND:

The site of Dwarka sub-city has number of physical constraints with regard to provision of transportation linkages. The problem for making provisions for inter-city movement is more acute ascompared to the intra-city movement. This is mainly because of the fact that the entire north and north eastern part of the sub-city is already built up comparing of unauthorised/unauthorised regularised colonies. In order to facilitate proper linkages of the project with north central Delhi, the structure Plan for Dwarka envisage a 45 mtr. r/w road to be constructed over the Palam Drain and connecting the Pankha Road.

4. A meeting in this regard was held with Chief Engineer (Irrigation and Flood Controls), Delhi Admn, on 15.5.92

In this meeting, detailed discussions with respect to the construction of the said road were held. The Chief Engineer(I&F) agreed to the proposal of constructing the road subject to the condition that the proposed road shall be constructed 2 mtr. elevated from

contd... 2/-

the Palam Prain for maintenance/cleaning of the drain.

The Chief Engr. (I&F) was also requested to make the necessary provision for construction of this road in the budget for the 8th Five Year Plan.

5. EXISTING CONDITIONS:

The proposed road is having a length of 2.9 $^{\rm Km}$. approximately out of which 400 mtr. length is already functioning as existing road having a r/w of 24mtr. In this stretch of the road, there are six existing unauthorised structures affected to make the r/w of the road as 45 mtr. Rest of the stretch is not affecting any $^{\rm S}$ tructure as the existing r/w of the $^{\rm P}$ alam $^{\rm D}$ rain is itself about $^{\rm S}$ tructure, r/w.

PROPOSAL:

The proposed alignment of 45 mtr. r/w road has been prepared on the survey map based on the survey done by the berial photography by the National Remote Sensing Agency, Hyderbad. as the proposed road is to be made 2mtr. above the Palam Drain, the road section already approved by the Technical Committee has been modified accordingly. Peailed modified cross sections of the road is given on the map. The road will originate from Pankha Koad near Pabri Police Station at ground level till the point it meets with the Palam Drain and after that by giving a gentle slope, main carriage way of the road is elevated leaving service roads running at the ground level. The elevated carriage way is of 24 mtr. (10.5 mtr. lanes each side with 1 mtr. central verge and 1 mtr. and I mtr. footpath of each side). This portion of the road will be used for through traffic. However, Bus stops at appropriate places have also been proposed for pedestrian movement. 5.5 mtr. service roads along both the side is proposed at the ground level which will provide access to the built up area on either side of the road. The proposed alignment has four major inter-sections.

Detailed designing of these inter-sectionswill be undertaken subsequently.

The item is placed before the Technical Committee for its consideration and approval.

Item No. 1 70/92 Subject: INTRODUCTION OF CLUSTER COURT HOUSING CONCEPT IN THE MATTER PLAN FOR DELHI PERSPECTIVE -2001. PA/DD(ZP)92/DDA/310 The Master Plan for Delhi Perspective-2001 presently envisages Housing Development as (i) plotted development and (ii) Group Housing Development. It is proposed to introduce the 3rd type of Housing Development i.e. cluster court Housing Development. Basically such a housing is a single family(increamental or otherwise) on plots arounda

To introduce the above concept following modifications are required in the Master Plam for Delhi Perspective-2001.

cluster(square or alongated) with full coverage of site

subject to light and ventilation conditions.

Present

The residential brea can have both plotted and group housing developments.

In case of Group Housing the minimum size of plot shall be 4000 sq.m.

Page No. 151(6). The low income group plotted developments with less 50 sq.m. pless than 50 sq.m. plots and plots shall be governed by the following norms.

- (i) Area under recreation shall be a; the rate of 4.0 sq.m. per terson (minimum).
- ii) The plot shall face an open space including pedestrian movement with a minimum width 8.0 mtr.
- iii) The residential plots facing the open space shall be ascessible by 1.0 mtr. wide walk way and an entry to and exist from the open space shall be of 4.0 mtr. width.

Proposed

The residential area can have plotted, group housing and cluster court housing developments.

In case of Group Housing and cluster court housing minimum size of plot shall be 4000 sq.m. The cluster court housing plot can be further sub.-divided into single family(increamental or otherwise) housing plots with minimum size of 20 sq.m. and maximum of 56 sq.m.

The plotted development with cluster court housing development with sub-divided plots of not less than 20 sq.m. and more than 56 sq.m. shall be governed by the following norms.

- (i) The residential plot/ cluster court housing subdivided plot shall face an open space including pedestrian movement with minimum width of 6 mtr. in case of two storey development and 9 mtr. in case of 3 storey development.
 - ii) Such residential plots/ cluster court sub-divided plots facing an open space shall be accessible by 1 mtr. wide walk way with exist from the open space to be of minimum 4 mtr. width.

contd ... 2/-

Page No. 155

Residential plot Group Housing (002)

Residential flat.....

Page No 159

Residential plot Group Housing (002)

Residential flat, cluster court housing subdivided plot....

(Another clause to be added on page 159 left hand side.

Below (v).

vi) In case of cluster court housing sub-divided housing plots set back for differentplot size would be as applicable to plots of smaller size two categories below.

(Following to be added in parking standards)

p(v) Cluster Court housing. 1+00.

(Following to be added on page 160)
Residential plot cluster court housing (002).

Minimum size of plot 4000 sq.m.
Ground coverage flexible in case of sub-divided plots permitted coverage would be 100% subject to height and ventilation conditions.
Maximum Floor Area Ratio 100
Maximum height.
For sub-divided plot upto 40 sqm.
8 mtr. (Two storey)
For sub-divided plot upto 40 scm.
8 mtr.(two storey.
For sub-divided upto 56 sq.mtr.
11 mtr. (three storey).

Other controls:
The net housing density permissible shall be 140 DUs per hect. with 15% variation on either side which could be an average for more than one pocket.

Minimum street in front- 20 mtr. No basement to be allowed. Therewill be no projection out

Therewill be no projection but side building envelop in case cluster court subdivided plots.

Each cluster court subdivided plotwould accommodate one DU for a single family (6 persons).

contd...3/-

From prepage

Page No.170

002 residential plot-Group housing.

002 residential plot-Group Housing/ cluster court housing plot.

like parking, park, convenience shops, public utility etc.

A premises of size not less A premises of size not less than than 4000 sq.m.

Comprising of residential flats with basic amenities like parking, park, conventions. park, convenience shop, public utility etc.

. 11

Sub: Consideration of permission of Cinema owners for allowing cinema building partly for commercial offices/shops in Union Territory of Delhi.
F.11(1)91-MP

A number of cinema owners of Delhi have represented to the Ministry of Urban Development Govt. of India, Delhi Aden., and DDA for partly conversion of their cinema buildings for commercial offices/shops. It has been pleaded that because of the introduction of VCR/VCP's and TV's the cinemas has become a lessing business and therefore part of the premises be allowed to be used for commercial offices/shops. However also people of lower income group are still the beneficearies of the cinema halls and therefore total conversion of cinemea into com ercial spaces is not desirable. Earlier partly shopping are have been constructed in the cinema plots such as in Archna theatre and others. As per MPD-2001 cinema halls are permitted in use zone 1. (C-1) Retail shopping General Business and Commercial, (C-2) Whole sale and ware housing, Cold storage and oil& depot (ii) M-1 (Light and Service Centre) and (iii) M-2 (Extensive Industries). The uses and use activities permitted in cinema plots are: Cinema, watch and ward residence: (20 sqm.), administrative office, soft drinks and snacks, retail shops commercial office (upto 20% of the total floor area) However, no maximum or minimum limit of cinema seat have been prescribed.

2. DDA has auctioned about 26 cinema sile and most of these cinemas form part of the comprehensive development of commercial centres like District Centres, Community Centres or Local Shopping Centres. In all these centres both coverage and FAR was independent of the size of the plot for auction. The average coverage of these cinemas was about 1800 sqm. with a total floor space of about 3600 sqm. At the time of auction it was also stipulated that a part varying from 20% to 35% can be used for commercial purposes. This includes shops, banks and offices. In most of the cases parking was not the direct responsibility of the auction purchaster. He was asked to share the parking with rest of the community centre uses.

^{5.} The hes encional about 25 cinear sic an most of

Keeping the above basic parameter, the following alternatives are available:

The percentage of commercial component in a cinema be i. increased to 50%. The remaining 50% can be used for running the cinema, keeping existing ground coverage & FAR.

- Give an option to the owners to have cinema halls of ii. the smaller capacity verying from 250-500 persons. Thus a complex may have 3-4 cinema halls of various sizes offering different types of films at one place. The customer will then have a wider choice for alterentertainment. This has been done in other countries also.
- Part of the cinema halls be converted into a theatre, iii. musical hall for a OPERA.
- The commercial component of the cinema hall can be iv. used for convention centre, exhibition hall, cultural centre, departmental store, shopping centre, restaurant or similar other uses for entertainment.
- The proposal of alternative use of cinema plot was also discussed in the Screening Committee in its meeting held on 10.2.92 and it was generally agreed that in view of the fact that the cinema was still chapest source of mass entertainmenet outside the home DDA should continue to earmark cinema plots in its community centres and district centres. However in order to ensure the marketability of such plots, the relevant controls be relaxed so as to reduce the scating capacity of the cinema hall and increase the rest of commercial component within the permissible FAR for the cinema plot.
- 5. The following aspects need decision:
- Whether conversion of part of cinema hall is to be i. permitted and if yes, to what extent?
- The terms and conditions on which conversion is to be allowed.
- iii. The additional parking requirement to be met with in the plot or outside ?
- iv. Revision in lease conditions and modification or condition of lease.
- In case of free hold site, conversion charges to be fixed The case is now placed before the Tech. committee for consideration. The issues revised in para 5 to be deliberated and general directions to be given by the Tech. committee based on which further work could be done by the Planning Deptt. and the Lands Deptt.

3.

- 14-ITEM NO.61/92 SUBJECT:-Allotment of additional land to Sri Ahobila Lakshmi Nrisimha Sabha for religious purposes. (File No. S-6(7)79/OSB/Instl.) The Sabha is alloted/handed over land measuring 418.0 Sq.mts. for the construction of a Temple on 3.4.84. in the Institutional Area east of East Patel Nagar (Plan laid on the table). Earlier a request of the Sabha for allotment 2. of additional land measuring about 250 sq.yds. was not agreed to and the Society was informed of the decision vide letter dt.6-4-88. Now again the Society has requested for allotment 3. of the balance land which was shown as a nark in the sub division plan of the plot initially meant for a dispensary in the lay-out plan of East of East Patel Nagar | Institutional Area (Plan laid on the table) to them with a break up of about 250 sq.yds. for the construction purposes (on long term lease basis and usual term) and balance 250 sq.yds. on normal lease to bekept as open by them. It has been further stated

4. The request of the Sabha has been forwarded by Addl. Secy., Min. of Urban Development/wherein Honourable Lt.Governor, Delhi has observed as under:"May kindly have it expeditiously examined and advise."

that open land will be available for the use to

5. Comments are as under:-

the general public as well.

i) The Sub-division plan was further modified to accommodate the request of allotment of land of P.N.T. Department for the construction of a Post Office. (Plan laid on the table).

ii) The area is encroached upon by jhuggies and during the period of time DDA could not develop it for the uses identified in the sub-division plan.

iii) As per MPD-2001 land measuring 400 sq.mts. are to be considered for allotment for religious purposes.

6. The matter is placed before the Technical Committee for its consideration.

Item No. 84/92

• Sub: Request for permission to use plot No. 48,
Hanuman Road for commercial use.

File No: F.16(5)92-MP

- 1. The Deputy Miniging Director of Industrial credit and Investment Corporation of India Ltd. (ICICI), in his letter dated 23.3.92 has stited that they have purchased property No.48, Hanuman Re d(Sub-zone D-4), dmeasuring 2549.61 sq.mt. (3049.20 sq.yds). according to the ICICI, this is the only plot which is being used as a residential building and in view of other commercial buildings adjacent to it requested that the same be allowed for commercial use for ICICI, its allied and other affiliated companies.
- 2. The request has been ex mined from planning point of view and following are the observations:
 - i) The plot under reference is residential as per the approved zonal plan of Zone D-4 as well as modified zonal plan forming part of the redevelopment proposal for Zone D-4 which was approved by DDA vide its resolution No.58 dated 14.7.80 for inviting public objections/suggestions.
 - ii) The plot under reference is one of the plots in the pocket, ermorked for residential use as per the layout plon(Drawing No. 4) ferming part of the above mentioned redgvelopment proposal. In addition, other residential plots and other uses like Temple, Bar t Glar/Welfare Centre etc. are also shown as a part of the residential area.
 - iii) The pocket comprising of plot No. 48 (plot under reference) 50,52,56&58 are all parmarked for residential use and surrounded by public uses like Temple. Burt Ghar, and on the other sides by streets by commercial buildings like Mohan Singh Place, Regal Building and allhabed Bank. Some of the plots of this packet are misused under commercial activities.
- 3. The Master Plan indicates various land use zones which are further detailed out by zonal plan/Redevelopment schemes/approved layout plan etc. also, it is observed that in the

of this pocket are misused under commercial activities.

- 3. Land use of this pocket is residential and the plot under reference forms an integral part of Hanuman Road residential scheme which falls in zone D-4.
- 4. In this regard a reference is also received from the Ministry of Urban Development on 8.7.92.
- 5. The matter is placed before the Technical Committee for its consideration.

TLm No.85/92 Sub: i) Allotment of 220 KV Sub-Stn. site for Walled City Area.

- ii) Route approval for 220 KV Tower Line from Wazirabad Road to proposed sub-stn.site.

 F.6(7)89-MP.
 F.6(1)85-MP.
- 1. The above said case was discussed in the Technical Committee meeting held on 3.2.92(agenda placed as Annexure-I) in which the following decision was taken:

"The Technical Committee observed, at the outset. that the original site of the proposed 220 KV S/Stn. had been approved by the Authority. However, later on, at the instance of the Lt. Governor, of Delhi, the location of ESS had been changed to the present site. It was, therefore, for DESU to take up the matter with the L.G. and obtain his formal concurrence to the proposed reversion to the original site, before placing the matter before the Technical Committee. It was also noted that while agreeing to the original location of this 220 KV s/Stn. the understanding was that the line would be laid underground. Technical Committee desired that this fact should be brought to the notice of L.G. while requesting that he consider his decision to shift the said site. Meanwhile, it was agreed that site inspection be con-ducted by the Chief Architect, DDA, CE(E) and representatives of DESU in order to facilitate a satisfactory solution when the subject comes up for consideration again in the Technical Committee".

2. In pursuance of the Technical Committee decision, a joint site inspection of DDA and DESU officers was held on 3.5.92 for locating the site for 220 KV sub-stn. The sub-stn. site was identified on the southern side of ISBT flyover between river yamuna and the left turning ramp from the bridge. Thereafter a detailed Plane Table Survey of the area was conducted by the Survey Unit of PPW. The proposed 220 KV sub-stn. site measuring 45 mtr. x 75 mtr. has been marked on the Plane Table Survey. A triangular pocket of about-Hect.

is left in the corner between the bridge & the sub-stn.site. The approach to the sub-stn.site is proposed from Ring Road by a 10 mtr. wide road. This road shall also pass below the left turning ramp from the flyover. The road has been adjusted in a corner so that a corner so that a clear height of 4.50 to 5.50 mtr. is available below the ramp.

A copy of the P.T.Survey showing the sub-stn. site was sent to Commr.(Lands) on 4.6.92 to send the land ownership status, the same is awaited.

3. In the mean time EE(Plg.)IV, DESU vide letter dated 25.5.92 has submitted the revised route alignment proposal as per the new 220 KV sub-stn. site.

Route details:- The route starts from the existing Mandola-Narela H.T.line near Wazirabad Road. The tower line shall be taken parallel to the existing 220 KV H.T.line in a minimum safe distance in the Yamuna River bed upto ISBT bridge. From here it crosses the bridge diagonally and is taken parallel on the southern sie of thebridge upto the proposed 220 KV sub-stn. site as shown in Drg.No.P.L.IV - 766 sent alongwith letter dated 25.5.92. The total length of the route is 5.90 km.

As the H.T.route passes through proposed MRTS corridor between ISBT flyover and old road cum Railway Bridge, NOC from Commr.(Tpt.) may be obtained.

The H.T.line route and foundations for the towers in Yamuna river bed shall be laid after obtaining clearance from Chief Engineer, Yamuna Bridge Project, PWD(DA) and Chief Engineer(I&F)DA. However it may be ensured that the H.T.line is taken in a manner that minimum area on the surface is wasted.

4. The case is put up to the TC for consideration of:

i) Approval of 220 KV sub-stn. site out of Distt.park and its approach road(plan to be laid on the table).

ii) Route alignment as explained under para 3(Plan to be laid on the table).

Minutes of the Technical Committee meeting held on 3.2.92.

Item No.17/92

- Sub(i) Site for the 220 KV sub-station for Walled City Area.
 - (ii) Route approval for 220 KV Tower Line from Mandola to Kashmere Gate.

No.F.6(7)89-MP.

The site for 200 KV Sub-Station at Kashmere Gate was approved by Authority vide Resolution No.102 dated 20.8.1987. However, due to some public objections received by L.G., Delhi, the site was inspected by L.G., Delhi, alongwith the officers of DESU and DDA. G.M., DESU agreed that further action shall be taken up after the alternative site near Metcalf House is allotted to DESU.

The Ex-Engineer(Plg.)-IV, DESU vide letter No.XEN (Pig.IV)/161/432 dated 31.10.1991 addressed to Chief Engg. (Elect.), DDA requested that way-leave permission for 220 KV Tower Line from Mandola to Kashmere Gate may be accorded by DDA.

1. BACKGROUND

- (a) Technical Committee decision dt. 15.1.1990 (Item No.254)
 "The route alignment of 220 KV line from Metcalf House to Kashmere Gate is to go underground and rest of the portion of the alignment may be over-ground".
- Due to some Technical requirement and public objections, L.G., inspected the site already handed over to DESU for 200 KV S/Stn. In a note submitted by G.M., DESU, to Secy., to L.G. requested that an alternative site near Metcalf house be allotted to DESU.
- (c) Selection of alternative site.

 A site inspection was arranged under the Chairmanship of Commr.(Plg.) on 19.7.90 with the officers of DDA and DESU. During this site inspection following 4 sites were considered:

(i) Existing 33 KV S/Stn.(North City Woll).

(ii) Site opposite Metcalf House in Yamuna Bed.

(iii) The existing 33 KV Sub-Station at Khabbar Pass.

(iv) Near Gurdward on Ring Road (near Wazirabad Bridge).

- (d) TECHNICAL COMMITTEE DECISION

 With the above background the case was discussed in Technical Committee vide item No.72/91 dt.15.4.91.

 The decision of the Technical Committee is given below:-
- (i) DESU should work out the total load which is likely to be generated with the proposed development of Khabbar Pass Distt. Centre and the extension of Delhi Admn. Offices so as to ensure that the same is fully cater to in terms of Sub-Stn. capacities.
- (ii) DESU should take a firm view with regards to location of a new 220 KV s/stn. site at Kashmere Gate, finalise the route alignment which would have to be mainly underground and submit the same for consideration of the Technical Committee.
- (iii) Alternatively the feasibility of up grading the existing 33 KV S/Stn.near Khabbar Pass Distt.Centre to 220 KV and the land requirements thereoschould be examined and reported.
- (e) DESU CLARIFICATIONS ON TECHNICAL COMMITTEE'S DECISION Ex-Engg.(Plg.)-IV, DESU vide letter No.XEN(Plg.IV)/
- 92/233 dated 8.7.1991 addressed to D.D.(MP) informed that:-
- (i) 220 KV S/Stn. at Kashmere Gate is required to meet the demand of Walled City Area.
- (ii) The upgradation of 33 KV S/Stn. at Khabbar Pass is not in liew of Kashmere Gate 220 KV S/Stn. but is required for Distt.Centre etc.
- (iii) L.G. in the meeting held on 21.8.90 has permitted DESU to continue the work of construction of 220 KV S/Stn. at Kashmere Gate.
- (f) MEETING HELD UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF COMMR. (PLG.) ON 5.12.91.

All the issues mentioned above were discussed in the meeting held under the Chairmanship of Commr. (Plg.) on 5.12.1991. The views expressed in brief are as under:-

(i) The Addl.Chief Engg.(DESU) explained that at present

walled city has no. of power break-down and creates lot of inconveience to the residents. At present Walled City Power requirements are met by no. of surrounding smaller sub-station and these sub-stations have reached their saturation capacity. Thus, it is not possible to meet the growing demand mainly due to unauthorised conversion of residential units to commercial establishments. From the distribution point of view a 220 KV S/Stn. is required at nearest point of the demand area.

- (ii) Commr.(Plg.), DDA was of the opinion that the location of 220 KV electric S/Stn. near the City wall and also the proposed city museum is not desirable. DESU may consider the options decided during the site inspection of 19.7.90 or the options of upgrading the existing smaller sub-stations which area at present feeding the walled city requirement to meet the minimum authorised sanctioned power loads.
- II. In the absence of the final decision regarding the location of 220 KV S/Stn. for which the feeder lines are proposed, it may be pre-mature to process the case of feeder lines, However, the DESU in the revised proposal submitted vide letter No.XEN(Plg.)IV/161/432 dated 31.10.91/proposed the overhead tower lines from Mandela to proposed 220 KV S/Stn. at Kashmere Gate. In the proposed route about 6 towers will be provided in the gudesia and Tilak Park. This route alignment had been discussed in the T/C in this meeting held on 15.1.90 & 15.4.91 and in both the meetings, T/C has resolved that route alignment from Metcalf House to Kashmere Gate Sub-Station should be underground.

III. Item is placed before T/C for taking the final view on the location of 220 KV Sub-Station for the Walled City and also for the undergroud versus overhead 220 KV cable lines from Metcalf House to Kashmere Gate Sub-Station.

Decision of the Technical Committee meeting held on 3.2.92.

"The Technical Committee observed, at the outset, that the original site of the proposed 220 KV S/Stn. had been approved by the Authority. However, lateron, at the instance of the Lt.Governor, of Delhi, the location of ESS had been changed

to take up the matter with the L.G. and obtain his formal concurrence to the proposed reversion to the original site, before placing the matter before the Technical Committee. It was also noted that while agreeing to the Original location of this 220 KV S/Stn. the understanding was that the line would be laid underground. Technical Committee desired that this fact should be brought to the notice of L.G. while requesting that he consider his decision to shift above said site. Meanwhile, it was agreed that site inspection be conducted by the Chief Architect, DDA, CE(E) and representatives of DESU in order to facilitate a satisfactory salution when the subject comes up for consideration again in the Technical Committee.